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Fig. 1 Type of medical cover held, Q3 2007
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# Health Status and Health Service Utilisation <br> Quarterly National Household Survey Quarter 32007 

## Over one quarter of adults have neither a medical card nor private health insurance

In the third quarter of 2007 an estimated $27 \%$ of persons aged 18 years and over indicated that they had neither a medical card nor private health insurance. Of those who had some form of medical cover, $24 \%$ indicated that they had a medical card only, $44 \%$ indicated that they had private health insurance only and the remaining $5 \%$ had both a medical card and private health insurance. See table 1 and fig. 1 opposite.

- The level of coverage was higher among women than men with $77 \%$ of women having either a medical card or private health insurance, compared with $69 \%$ of men. This was particularly the case for those with a medical card only with $28 \%$ of women falling into this category compared with $19 \%$ of men.
- The proportion of adults without any medical cover decreases with age with $44 \%$ of persons in the 18-24 age group having no medical cover compared with just $1 \%$ among those aged 70 and over.
The estimates of coverage for 2007 are broadly in line with the estimates from a similar module from the third quarter of 2001. Only in the category for those with both a medical card and private health insurance did a significant change take place as the percentage increased from $2 \%$ in 2001 to $5 \%$ in 2007. This change was driven by an increase for those aged 70 and over, where in 2001 10\% had indicated that they had both a medical card and private health insurance, whereas by 2007 this had risen to $33 \%$. The increase in medical card cover among those aged 70 and over can be linked to the introduction in July 2001 of automatic entitlement to a medical card for all persons in that age group. Specifically, the level of medical card coverage for this age group rose from $79 \%$ in 2001 to $95 \%$ in 2007. See table 1.

The level of non-coverage across the majority of age groups remained at comparable levels. The most significant increase in non-coverage was recorded for the 25-34 age group where non-coverage rose from $34 \%$ to $38 \%$ between 2001 and 2007. See table 1.

As is evident from both 2001 and 2007 results, a strong correlation exists between age and type of medical cover. See table 1.

- The level of medical card cover can be seen to increase with age, with approximately $62 \%$ of persons aged 70 and over having a medical card only and a further $33 \%$ having both private health insurance and a medical card. This compares with overall medical card coverage of approximately one in five persons among younger age groups.
- The highest level of private health insurance was recorded among those aged between 35 and 64 years with coverage of over fifty percent.

There was a significant difference between the level of non-coverage among Non-Irish nationals ( $61 \%$ ) and Irish nationals ( $23 \%$ ) in 2007. The size of this difference increased significantly from 2001 when comparable figures were $40 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively. The increase in the level of non-coverage of Non-Irish nationals can be linked in part to the age profile of immigrants between 2001 and 2007 with the majority of immigration occurring in younger age groups where levels of medical cover are typically lower. See table 1 .

Fig 2. Overall medical cover held Q3 2001-2007


Fig 3. Self perceived health status by age group, Q3 2007


## Almost ninety percent of adults perceive themselves to be in good health

Nearly half (47\%) of all adults perceived their own health as very good in 2007, while an additional $40 \%$ stated that their health status was good. A further $11 \%$ indicated that their health status was fair, with only $2 \%$ stating that their own health was bad or very bad. See table 2.

Overall there were no significant differences between male and female self-perceptions of their own health status. However, some differences can be seen when looking at men and women by age group. See table 1.1 below.

Among those aged 18-24 and 25-34 a higher proportion of men than women reported their health status as very good as compared with women. For example $69 \%$ of 18 to 24 year old males reported very good health status compared with $59 \%$ of females in that age group. See table 1.1 below.

A similar proportion of people reported their health status to be fair or worse in each age group for both men and women. Overall $14 \%$ of women and $12 \%$ of men reported fair or worse health status. See table 1.1 below.

The percentage of those who reported their health status as either very good or good decreased with age. For those aged 70 and over, $69 \%$ rated their health to be either very good or good. This compares with $96 \%$ of 18-24 year olds. See table 1.1 below and fig. 3 opposite.

Table 1.1 Self perceived health status by sex and age group, Q3 2007


[^1]Fig. 4 Average number of GP consultations by age group in the 12 months prior to Q3 2007


## Hypertension, chronic back pain and high cholesterol most common health conditions

Almost forty percent of adults (39\%) indicated that they have at some point been diagnosed by a doctor as having a health condition. A higher proportion of women ( $41 \%$ ) reported at least one health condition when compared with men (36\%). See table 3.

Hypertension (10\%) was the most prevalent condition reported by adults followed by chronic back conditions (8\%) and high cholesterol (8\%). See table 3.

For the majority of conditions a higher prevalence was found among older people than among younger age groups. The difference was far greater for some conditions with, for example, $33 \%$ of persons aged 70 and over reporting diagnosis of hypertension compared with fewer than $5 \%$ of persons in each of the three youngest age groups. See table 3 .

## Over two thirds of adults had at least one GP consultation in the previous year

In 2007 three quarters of persons aged 18 years and over ( $74 \%$ ) consulted with the health service in relation to their own health at least once in the previous twelve months. The most frequent form of consultation was interaction with a General Practitioner (GP). Over two-thirds of persons consulted with a GP ( $69 \%$ ), compared with one third of persons reporting a consultation with a pharmacist, while one in twenty (5\%) consulted with a Community/Public Health nurse. See table 4 a.

Looking at the number of GP consultations reveals that $35 \%$ of adults consulted with a GP once or twice in the previous twelve months, while $6 \%$ had eleven or more consultations. The average number of GP consultations across the adult population was 2.8 visits per year. See table $4 b$ and fig. 4 opposite.

- The average number of GP consultation increased with age, with 2.0 consultations for 18-24 year olds rising to 5.2 consultations for those aged 70 and over.
- For those with a disability the average number of GP consultations was 6.8 compared with 2.1 for those without a disability.
- Men were less likely to have consulted with a GP than women, with $41 \%$ of men having no GP consultation in the previous twelve months compared with $22 \%$ for women. The average number of consultations by men was 2.2 , while the comparable number for women was 3.4.
- Irish nationals had twice the average number of GP visits (3.0) as compared with Non-Irish nationals (1.5).

In all age groups a higher proportion of women had consulted with a GP than men, and this was particularly evident among younger age groups. For example $44 \%$ of 18 to 24 year old men had consulted with a GP in the previous twelve months compared with $68 \%$ of women. See table 1.2 below.

Table 1.2 GP consultations by sex and age group, Q3 2007

|  | Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | All Adults |  |
|  | GP Consultations |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { - At least } 1 \\ \text { consult } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average No. } \\ \text { Consults }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% - At least 1 consult | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average No. } \\ \text { Consults }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { - At least } \\ 1 \text { consult } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average No. } \\ \text { Consults }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| State | 59 | 2.2 | 78 | 3.4 | 69 | 2.8 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 44 | 1.1 | 68 | 2.8 | 56 | 2.0 |
| 25-34 | 46 | 1.2 | 77 | 3.3 | 61 | 2.2 |
| 35-44 | 55 | 1.8 | 75 | 2.9 | 65 | 2.3 |
| 45-54 | 62 | 2.6 | 75 | 3.0 | 69 | 2.8 |
| 55-64 | 73 | 3.2 | 81 | 3.5 | 77 | 3.4 |
| 65-69 | 83 | 3.7 | 89 | 4.4 | 86 | 4.0 |
| 70+ | 92 | 5.0 | 94 | 5.3 | 93 | 5.2 |
| Age standardised | 59 | 2.1 | 78 | 3.4 | 69 | 2.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Average is calculated across all persons including those with no GP consultations.

Type of medical cover is a significant factor in the average number of GP visits. Table 1.3 below shows that across all age groups persons with a medical card had a higher average number of GP visits, followed by those with private health insurance, with the lowest average number of visits recorded for those with no medical cover. By standardising for the age structure of the population as a whole it can be seen that the age standardised average number of GP visits for medical card holders was 5.2 , which was more than double the average for private health insurance holders (2.4) and nearly three times the average recorded for those with no medical cover (1.9). See table 1.3 below and fig. 5 opposite.

Table 1.3 GP consultations by type of medical cover and age group, Q3 2007

|  | Type of medical cover |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical card |  | Private cover |  | Neither |  |
|  | $\%$ of adults <br> with at least 1 <br> consult | Average No. Consults ${ }^{1}$ | \% of adults with at least 1 consult | Average No. Consults ${ }^{1}$ | \% of adults with at least 1 consult $^{2}$ | Average No. Consults ${ }^{1,2}$ |
| State | 86 | 5.3 | 71 | 2.4 | 51 | 1.5 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 73 | 4.1 | 62 | 2.0 | 43 | 1.1 |
| 25-34 | 79 | 4.6 | 67 | 2.2 | 46 | 1.3 |
| 35-44 | 78 | 5.0 | 66 | 1.9 | 55 | 1.7 |
| 45-54 | 84 | 6.6 | 68 | 2.0 | 58 | 1.9 |
| 55-64 | 87 | 5.8 | 77 | 2.6 | 64 | 2.1 |
| 65-69 | 90 | 5.6 | 84 | 3.1 | 76 | 2.9 |
| 70+ | 94 | 5.3 | 94 | 4.8 | [85] | [3.9] |
| Age standardised | 82 | 5.2 | 71 | 2.4 | 57 | 1.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Average is calculated across all persons including those with no GP consultations.
${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [ ] indicate percentages/averages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error.

Many of the factors shown to be associated with GP consultations are themselves inter-related, such as age, medical coverage and health status. Logistic regression is a means of examining the factors associated with a GP consultation in the preceding twelve months while taking account of the interactions between the main characteristics. The results of this model show that there is a statistically significant relationship between having a high number of GP visits and a number of factors including age, health conditions, self perceived health status, disability status, sex, economic status, marital status, nationality and type of medical cover. See background notes.

Fig. 6 Hospital Attendance by type of service in the 12 months prior to Q3 2007


Type of Attendance

Fig. 7 Percentage of inpatient stays by public/private hospital by type of medical cover in the 12 months prior to Q3 2007


## Higher proportion of women report hospital attendance

 than menIn the third quarter of $200728 \%$ of persons aged 18 years and over reported attending a hospital in the 12 months prior to interview. Females (31\%) reported a higher percentage of attendance than males ( $25 \%$ ). See table 5 a.

Attendance at an accident and emergency (A\&E) department was reported by 9\% of adults, while twice as many respondents attended out-patient clinics (18\%). Day-patient admissions were reported by $8 \%$ of respondents while $9 \%$ reported in-patient stays in the year up to Q3 2007. See table 5 a and fig. 6 opposite.

- For all main hospital based services usage was highest for those aged 70 and over with the exception of A\&E where the largest percentage was in the 18-24 year age group (13\%).
- There was no statistically significant difference between the level of attendance at A\&E departments or the level of day-patient attendance of men and women. However women reported higher levels of out-patient attendance than men ( $21 \%$ compared with $16 \%$ ) and in-patient admission ( $12 \%$ compared with 7\%).
- Across the four hospital based services those with a medical card reported the highest level of attendance. For example, $38 \%$ of medical card holders reported at least one hospital attendance, compared with $29 \%$ of private health insurance holders and $19 \%$ of those with no medical cover.

Four fifths of all in-patient stays (80\%) were in public hospitals. Women were more likely to have an in-patient stay (12\%) than men (7\%). In addition, a higher percentage of in-patient stays by women were in private hospitals ( $23 \%$ compared with $16 \%$ of in-patient stays for men). See table $5 b$

For persons with no medical cover the proportion of in-patient stays which were in a public hospital was $97 \%$, compared with $92 \%$ for those with medical cards, and $60 \%$ for adults with private health cover. See table $5 b$ and fig. 7 opposite.

For persons who had an in-patient stay in the twelve months prior to interview, the average number of nights spent as an in-patient was 8.9. Men had a higher average number of nights as an in-patient (10.2) compared with women (8.2). Medical card holders had on average more nights in hospital (12.4) compared with those with private health insurance (7.5), and those with no medical cover (5.1). See table $5 b$.

Fig. 8 Length of time on outpatient waiting list, Q3 2007


## Hospital waiting lists similar to 2001

Overall the percentages of persons who reported being on hospital waiting lists at the time of interview in the third quarter of 2007 were similar to those recorded in 2001. In 2007, $3 \%$ of adults were on an out-patient waiting list, while $1 \%$ were on an in-patient waiting list and a further $1 \%$ were on a day-patient waiting list. In 2001 the comparable percentages of persons on waiting lists were $4 \%, 2 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively. The difference between the percentage of persons on waiting lists in 2001 and 2007 did not reach statistical significance. See table $6 a$.

- In 2007, as was the case in 2001, as age increased the percentage on out-patient waiting lists rose, with $6 \%$ of those aged 70 and over on an outpatient waiting list compared with $1 \%$ of 18-24 year olds.
- Persons who reported having a disability were more likely to be on a hospital waiting list with $10 \%$ on an out-patient waiting list, $5 \%$ on an in-patient waiting list and $4 \%$ on a day-care procedure waiting list.

As in 2001, respondents who reported being on a waiting list were asked the length of time on the waiting list as of the time of interview. See table 6 b and fig. 8 opposite.

- In 2007 half of all persons on an out-patient waiting list were waiting less than 3 months. This was a decrease from $60 \%$ as reported in 2001.
- A further $12 \%$ of respondents reported that they had been on an out-patient waiting list for at least 12 months at the time of interview, an increase from $7 \%$ in 2001.
- Nearly $60 \%$ of persons on a day-care procedure waiting list were waiting less than 3 months at the time of interview, with $9 \%$ waiting 12 months or more.
- On average, those on an in-patient waiting list reported the longest length of wait at time of interview, with $43 \%$ reporting a wait of less than 3 months but $19 \%$ reporting wait times of 12 months or more.
- Men and women reported similar lengths of waiting on all waiting lists.

Table 1 Medical Cover: Type of medical cover held by persons aged 18 years and over classified by region, sex, age group, nationality and ILO Economic Status, Quarter 32001 and $200 \mathbf{7}^{1}$
$\%$ of adults


Table 2 Health Status: Self perceived health status of persons aged 18 and over classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, marital status, ILO Economic Status, type of medical cover and disability status, Quarter $32007{ }^{1}$
$\%$ of adults


Table 3 Health Conditions: Doctor diagnosed health conditions of persons aged 18 years and over classified by classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, ILO Economic Status and marital status,

## Quarter 3 2007 ${ }^{\text {1,2 }}$

|  | Doctor diagnosed health condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angina | Anxiety (including depression) | Asthma | Arthritis (osteo) | Arthritis (rheumatoid) | Back conditons (chronic) | Bronchitis (chronic) | Cancer | Diabetes |
| State | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Midland | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| West | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Dublin | 2 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Mid-East | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Mid-West | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| South-East | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| South-West | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Female | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | [0] | 2 | 8 | [0] | [0] | 3 | [0] | [0] | [0] |
| 25-34 | [0] | 3 | 8 | [0] | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 35-44 | [0] | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 45-54 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 55-64 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 65-69 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 70+ | 9 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | [1] | 1 |
| ILO Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In employment | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Unemployed | [1] | 4 | 8 | [1] | [1] | 6 | 1 | [1] | [1] |
| Not economically active | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | 1 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Married | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Separated or divorced | 2 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Widowed | 7 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 6 |

See Background Notes.
${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [ ] indicate percentages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error.

Table 3 (contd.) Health Conditions: Doctor diagnosed health conditions of persons aged 18 years and over classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, ILO Economic Status and marital status, Quarter 3 2007 ${ }^{1,2}$
\% of adults

Doctor diagnosed health condition

| Doctor diagnosed health condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epilepsy | Heart attack | Heart failure | Hypertension | High cholesterol | Osteoporosis | Permanent accident or injury | Stroke | Urinary incontinence | Other condition | One or more health condtions ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 39 | State |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Region |
| [1] | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | [1] | [1] | 9 | 39 | Border |
| [1] | 2 | [1] | 10 | 7 | [1] | 2 | [0] | [1] | 9 | 39 | Midland |
| [1] | 1 | [1] | 11 | 8 | 1 | [1] | [1] | [0] | 7 | 42 | West |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 42 | Dublin |
| [1] | 1 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | [1] | [0] | 7 | 38 | Mid-East |
| [0] | 1 | [1] | 9 | 8 | [1] | 1 | [1] | [1] | 6 | 38 | Mid-West |
| [1] | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 | [1] | [0] | 7 | 36 | South-East |
| [0] | 1 | [1] | 9 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | [1] | 7 | 34 | South-West |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sex |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 36 | Male |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 41 | Female |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age group |
| [0] | [0] | [0] | [1] | [0] | [0] | 1 | [0] | [0] | 5 | 17 | 18-24 |
| 1 | [0] | [0] | 2 | 1 | [0] | 1 | [0] | [0] | 5 | 22 | 25-34 |
| 1 | [0] | [0] | 4 | 4 | [0] | 1 | [0] | [0] | 7 | 31 | 35-44 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2 | [1] | 1 | 8 | 43 | 45-54 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 59 | 55-64 |
| [0] | 4 | 3 | 27 | 22 | 3 | [2] | 2 | [1] | 9 | 70 | 65-69 |
| [0] | 5 | 4 | 33 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 79 | 70+ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nationality |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 41 | Irish nationals |
| [0] | [0] | [0] | 4 | 3 | [0] | [1] | [0] | [0] | 3 | 18 | Non-Irish nationals |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ILO Economic Status |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | [0] | 0 | 5 | 28 | In employment |
| [1] | [0] | [0] | 4 | [3] | [0] | [1] | [0] | [0] | 7 | 31 | Unemployed |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 59 | Not economically active |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marital status |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | Single |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 42 | Married |
| [1] | [2] | [1] | 13 | 10 | 2 | [2] | [1] | [1] | 9 | 49 | Separated or divorced |
| [0] | 4 | 2 | 32 | 18 | 5 | [1] | 3 | 2 | 11 | 74 | Widowed |

${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.
${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [ ] indicate percentages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error.
${ }^{3}$ Excludes small number of non-responses.

Table 4a Health Consultations: Type of health consultation of persons aged 18 years and over in the 12 months prior to interview classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, ILO Economic Status, medical cover and disability status, Quarter $3200 \mathbf{7}^{1,2}$
\% of adults

|  | Number of health consultations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any <br> health consultation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { General Practitioner } \\ \text { (GP) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Pharmacist |  | Community Nurse ${ }^{2}$ |  | Otherhealth consultation ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  | 1 or more | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more |
| State | 25 | 75 | 31 | 69 | 67 | 33 | 95 | 5 | 79 | 21 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 26 | 74 | 33 | 67 | 63 | 37 | 95 | 5 | 77 | 23 |
| Midland | 26 | 74 | 31 | 69 | 65 | 35 | 94 | 6 | 84 | 16 |
| West | 24 | 76 | 30 | 70 | 63 | 37 | 94 | 6 | 77 | 23 |
| Dublin | 23 | 77 | 31 | 69 | 68 | 32 | 96 | 4 | 75 | 25 |
| Mid-East | 28 | 72 | 34 | 66 | 66 | 34 | 96 | 4 | 82 | 18 |
| Mid-West | 25 | 75 | 28 | 72 | 71 | 29 | 95 | 5 | 79 | 21 |
| South-East | 26 | 74 | 29 | 71 | 68 | 32 | 95 | 5 | 84 | 16 |
| South-West | 28 | 72 | 32 | 68 | 67 | 33 | 94 | 6 | 83 | 17 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34 | 66 | 41 | 59 | 73 | 27 | 97 | 3 | 82 | 18 |
| Female | 17 | 83 | 22 | 78 | 60 | 40 | 93 | 7 | 76 | 24 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 37 | 63 | 44 | 56 | 70 | 30 | 97 | 3 | 87 | 13 |
| 25-34 | 32 | 68 | 39 | 61 | 66 | 34 | 96 | 4 | 82 | 18 |
| 35-44 | 28 | 72 | 35 | 65 | 65 | 35 | 97 | 3 | 80 | 20 |
| 45-54 | 25 | 75 | 31 | 69 | 67 | 33 | 98 | 2 | 79 | 21 |
| 55-64 | 18 | 82 | 23 | 77 | 67 | 33 | 96 | 4 | 74 | 26 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 88 | 14 | 86 | 68 | 32 | 93 | 7 | 72 | 28 |
| 70+ | 5 | 95 | 7 | 93 | 65 | 36 | 81 | 19 | 70 | 30 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish national | 23 | 77 | 28 | 72 | 66 | 34 | 95 | 5 | 78 | 22 |
| Non-Irish national | 49 | 51 | 56 | 44 | 76 | 25 | 98 | 2 | 89 | 11 |
| ILO Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In employment | 31 | 69 | 38 | 62 | 68 | 32 | 98 | 2 | 82 | 18 |
| Unemployed | 33 | 67 | 40 | 60 | 69 | 31 | 97 | [3] | 86 | 14 |
| Not economically active | 14 | 86 | 18 | 82 | 63 | 37 | 90 | 10 | 74 | 27 |
| Medical cover ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical card | 12 | 88 | 14 | 86 | 62 | 38 | 88 | 12 | 74 | 26 |
| Private health insurance | 23 | 77 | 29 | 71 | 65 | 35 | 97 | 3 | 76 | 24 |
| Neither | 41 | 59 | 49 | 51 | 72 | 28 | 98 | 2 | 88 | 12 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5 | 95 | 9 | 91 | 56 | 44 | 85 | 15 | 57 | 43 |
| No | 29 | 71 | 35 | 65 | 68 | 32 | 97 | 3 | 83 | 17 |

[^2]Table 4b General Practitioner (GP) Consultations: Number of GP consultations of persons aged 18 years and over in the 12 months prior to interview classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, ILO Economic Status, type of medical cover and disability status, Quarter $32007{ }^{1}$

|  | Number of GP consultations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Adults |  |  |  |  | Number of consultations |
|  | None | 1 or 2 | 3 to 5 | 6 to 10 | 11+ | Average ${ }^{2}$ |
| State | 31 | 35 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 2.8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 33 | 33 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 2.9 |
| Midland | 31 | 34 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 2.7 |
| West | 30 | 35 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 2.5 |
| Dublin | 31 | 37 | 20 | 7 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Mid-East | 34 | 36 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 2.9 |
| Mid-West | 28 | 37 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 2.9 |
| South-East | 29 | 35 | 20 | 10 | 6 | 2.9 |
| South-West | 32 | 34 | 19 | 9 | 6 | 3.2 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 41 | 34 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Female | 22 | 36 | 24 | 11 | 7 | 3.4 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 44 | 33 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2.0 |
| 25-34 | 39 | 36 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 2.2 |
| 35-44 | 35 | 40 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 2.3 |
| 45-54 | 31 | 38 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 2.8 |
| 55-64 | 23 | 36 | 24 | 9 | 8 | 3.4 |
| 65-69 | 14 | 34 | 30 | 12 | 9 | 4.0 |
| 70+ | 7 | 23 | 38 | 18 | 14 | 5.2 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish | 28 | 36 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 3.0 |
| Non-Irish | 56 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1.5 |
| ILO Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In employment | 38 | 39 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 1.9 |
| Unemployed | 40 | 31 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Not economically active | 18 | 30 | 27 | 13 | 12 | 4.5 |
| Medical cover ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical card | 14 | 25 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 5.3 |
| Private health insurance | 29 | 41 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Neither | 49 | 34 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 1.5 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 9 | 19 | 30 | 20 | 21 | 6.8 |
| No | 35 | 38 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 2.1 |

[^3]Table 5a Main Health Services: Attendance at main health services of persons aged 18 years and over in the 12 months prior to interview classified by region, sex, age group, nationality, ILO Economic Status, type of medical cover and disability status, Quarter $32007{ }^{1}$

|  | Accident and emergency |  | Out-patient |  | Day-patient |  | In-patient |  | \% of adults |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Any hosptial ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number of attendances |  |  |  | None | 1 or more |  |  |
|  | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more | None | 1 or more |  |  |
| State | 91 | 9 | 82 | 18 | 92 | 8 | 91 | 9 | 72 | 28 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 89 | 11 | 82 | 19 | 93 | 7 | 90 | 10 | 72 | 28 |
| Midland | 89 | 11 | 78 | 22 | 90 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 70 | 30 |
| West | 91 | 9 | 82 | 18 | 92 | 8 | 90 | 10 | 74 | 26 |
| Dublin | 89 | 11 | 79 | 21 | 92 | 8 | 91 | 9 | 68 | 32 |
| Mid-East | 93 | 7 | 82 | 19 | 93 | 7 | 91 | 9 | 74 | 26 |
| Mid-West | 93 | 7 | 85 | 15 | 92 | 8 | 91 | 9 | 75 | 25 |
| South-East | 91 | 9 | 84 | 16 | 91 | 9 | 89 | 11 | 73 | 27 |
| South-West | 93 | 7 | 84 | 16 | 93 | 7 | 91 | 9 | 75 | 25 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 90 | 10 | 84 | 16 | 93 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 75 | 25 |
| Female | 91 | 9 | 79 | 21 | 91 | 9 | 88 | 12 | 69 | 31 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 87 | 13 | 88 | 12 | 95 | 5 | 93 | 7 | 77 | 23 |
| 25-34 | 90 | 10 | 87 | 13 | 94 | 6 | 91 | 9 | 76 | 24 |
| 35-44 | 92 | 8 | 83 | 17 | 92 | 8 | 92 | 8 | 74 | 26 |
| 45-54 | 92 | 8 | 81 | 19 | 92 | 8 | 93 | 7 | 74 | 26 |
| 55-64 | 92 | 8 | 77 | 23 | 90 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 68 | 32 |
| 65-69 | 92 | 8 | 72 | 28 | 89 | 11 | 89 | 12 | 64 | 36 |
| 70+ | 90 | 10 | 70 | 30 | 88 | 12 | 81 | 19 | 57 | 43 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 90 | 10 | 80 | 20 | 92 | 8 | 90 | 10 | 71 | 29 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 94 | 6 | 91 | 9 | 96 | 4 | 95 | 5 | 84 | 16 |
| ILO Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In employment | 91 | 9 | 85 | 15 | 94 | 6 | 93 | 7 | 77 | 23 |
| Unemployed | 86 | 14 | 86 | 14 | 93 | 7 | 92 | 8 | 74 | 26 |
| Not economically active | 89 | 11 | 75 | 25 | 90 | 11 | 86 | 14 | 63 | 37 |
| Medical cover ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical card | 88 | 12 | 73 | 27 | 89 | 11 | 84 | 16 | 62 | 38 |
| Private health insurance | 91 | 9 | 80 | 20 | 91 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 71 | 29 |
| Neither | 92 | 8 | 89 | 11 | 96 | 4 | 95 | 5 | 81 | 19 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 84 | 16 | 60 | 40 | 84 | 16 | 79 | 21 | 46 | 54 |
| No | 92 | 8 | 85 | 15 | 94 | 6 | 93 | 7 | 76 | 24 |

[^4]Table 5b In-patient Stays: Public/private hospital in-patient stays and average number of in-patient nights in the 12 months prior to interview of persons aged 18 years and over classified by sex, age group, ILO Economic Status, type of medical cover and disability status, Quarter $32007{ }^{1}$

|  | Adults with one or more Inpatient admissions | Percentage of stays by public/private hospital |  | Number of nights in hospital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Average nights |
|  | \% | \% | \% | per adult |
| State | 9 | 80 | 20 | 8.9 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 7 | 84 | 16 | 10.2 |
| Female | 12 | 77 | 23 | 8.2 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 7 | 92 | 8 | 4.2 |
| 25-34 | 9 | 89 | 11 | 5.1 |
| 35-44 | 8 | 65 | 35 | 7.5 |
| 45-54 | 7 | 85 | 15 | 9.6 |
| 55-64 | 10 | 72 | 28 | 10.8 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 83 | 17 | 13.4 |
| 70+ | 19 | 84 | 16 | 13.9 |
| ILO Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| In employment | 7 | 72 | 28 | 5.7 |
| Unemployed | 8 | 97 | 3 | 5.7 |
| Not economically active | 14 | 85 | 15 | 11.8 |
| Medical cover ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Medical card | 16 | 92 | 8 | 12.4 |
| Private health insurance | 9 | 60 | 40 | 7.5 |
| Neither | 5 | 97 | 3 | 5.1 |
| Disability status |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 21 | 80 | 20 | 14.9 |
| No | 7 | 80 | 20 | 6.1 |

[^5]

[^6]Table 6b Hospital waiting list durations: Length of time on hospital waiting list at time of interview of persons aged 18 years and over classified by type of waiting list and sex, Quarter 32001 and $2007^{1,2}$


Out-patient

|  | Male | 33 | 26 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 2 | [4] | 8 | 11 | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | 32 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 4 |
|  | Total persons | 32 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 3 |
|  | In-patient |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 18 | [10] | 23 | 27 | 15 | 29 | 14 | [9] | 6 | [5] | 24 | 20 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Female | 23 | 23 | 22 | 27 | 15 | 21 | 12 | [7] | 5 | [3] | 23 | 18 | 2 | 1 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | Total persons | 21 | 16 | 22 | 27 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 8 | 6 | [4] | 23 | 19 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Daycare procedure/ investigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 37 | 25 | 26 | 39 | 19 | 17 | 7 | [8] | 3 | [5] | 8 | [6] | 1 | 1 |
|  | Female | 33 | 28 | 29 | 26 | 18 | 23 | 9 | [9] | 3 | [2] | 8 | 11 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Total persons | 35 | 27 | 28 | 31 | 19 | 21 | 8 | 9 | 3 | [3] | 8 | 9 | 1 | 1 |

${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.
${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [] indicate percentages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error.

Annexe Table 1: Sample Size Health Module Q3 2001 and Q3 2007 by main classifications


[^7]
## Background Notes

Reference period

Purpose of survey

Questionnaire

Grossing effect

Note on Logistic Regression on GP visits

The questions on health status and utilisation of the health service were included in the Quarterly National Household Survey (QNHS) in the three months from June to August 2007. This was an update of a previous health module asked during the same period in 2001. Sample sizes for both surveys are contained in Annexe Table 1 of this release.

While the primary purpose of the QNHS is to collect information on employment and unemployment, it also includes modules on social topics of interest.

The health module was asked of all persons aged 18 years and over across four waves of the QNHS sample who were participating directly in the survey. Percentages in this release have been calculated with respect to all such persons. The topics covered included consultations with GP or family doctor, other health appointments, waiting lists, private medical insurance, medical cards, perception of health and health conditions. The results in this release are based on the health questionnaire, a copy of which is available on the CSO website www.cso.ie (additional web tables may be available at this address at a later date).

The QNHS grossing procedure aligns the distribution of persons covered in the survey with independently determined population estimates at the level of sex, five-year age group and region

Given the health individual questions were asked to a sub-sample of the overall QNHS sample, the grossing factors applied in the derivation of the health module differ from those that were used in the preparation of the main QNHS estimates. Hence the overall populations do not necessarily match those presented in the main QNHS release.

The characteristics of persons that are associated with the likelihood of having a high number of GP visits in the 12 months prior to interview were explored by constructing a logistic regression model. For the purpose of the regression a high number of GP visits was taken as being more than 5 in the previous 12 months.

The model produced odds ratios indicating the relative likelihood of persons with different characteristics having a high number of GP visits in the previous year. Odds are expressed relative to a reference category that has a given value of 1 . Odds ratios greater than 1 indicate higher odds (increased likelihood), and odds ratios less than 1 indicate lower odds (reduced likelihood) of the person having a high number of GP visits based on the characteristic in question.

## The variables included in the model

The model included a range of variables, all of which were categorical variables with the exception of age, which was continuous. The variables included are listed below:

- Sex
- Age
- Nationality (Irish or non-Irish)
- Medical cover (variables for having a medical card, private health insurance or no medical cover)
- Self-perceived health status (scale 1 to 5 with 1 being very good and 5 being very bad)
- Marital status (separate variables for single, married, separated, widowed)
- Disability (yes or no)
- ILO economic status (separate variables for employed, unemployed and not economically active)
- Whether a person had ever been diagnosed with a health condition

For the purposes of the regression a base set of characteristics must be chosen. In this case the base characteristics were:

- Marital Status: single
- Sex: Male
- Nationality: non-Irish
- Medical cover: no medical cover
- Disability: no disability
- ILO economic status: employed
- Health condition: no (i.e. never diagnosed with any health condition)

The model showed that the likelihood of having a high number of GP visits were lower for persons with these characteristics, i.e. males were less likely to have a high number of visits than females etc. As regards other variables in the model (age and self-perceived health status) the model
showed that the likelihood of a high number of visits increased with age, and also increased as self perceived health status worsened (i.e. persons with very bad health status were more likely to have a high number of GP visits).

The table below shows the odds ratios output by the model. As mentioned odds ratios greater than 1 indicate higher odds or increased likelihood of falling into the group of persons with a high number of GP visits. For example the model indicates that a female is 1.506 times more likely (or $50.6 \%$ more likely) to have a high number of GP visits than a male.

| Characteristic | Odds Ratio |
| :--- | ---: |
| Having a Medical Card | 2.506 |
| Female (vs. male) | 1.506 |
| Age (for each 1 year) | 1.012 |
| Health status very bad (vs. very good) | 21.277 |
| Health status bad (vs. very good) | 10.753 |
| Health status fair (vs. very good) | 4.425 |
| Health status good (vs. very good) | 3.067 |
| Disability (yes - has a disability) | 1.789 |
| Not Economically active (vs. being in employment) | 1.149 |
| Irish (vs. Non-Irish national) | 1.372 |
| Married (vs. single) | 1.364 |
| Separated (vs. single) | 1.193 |
| Widowed (vs. single) | 1.332 |
| Health condition (has been diagnosed with a health condition) | 2.833 |

The proportion of variants in the dependent variable accounted for by the independent variables in the model was approximately $31 \%$. A number of other characteristics were assessed but did not indicate a statistically significant relationship. These included educational level of the respondent, being unemployed (vs. being employed) and region of residence.

While the model identifies clear statistically significant relationships between GP visits and various individual characteristics, a full analysis would require further information such as behaviours (e.g. drinking, smoking, activity), income etc.

Statistical significance All estimates based on sample surveys are subject to error, some of which is measurable. Where an estimate is statistically significantly different from another estimate it means that we can be $95 \%$ confident that differences between those two estimates are not due to sampling error.

Disability A disability or longstanding health problem refers to any of the following conditions (long lasting here relates to conditions affecting someone for at least 6 months or one which is likely to affect someone for at least 6 months):

- Blindness, deafness or a severe vision or hearing impairment.
- A condition that substantially limits one or more basic physical activities such as walking, climbing stairs, reaching, lifting or carrying.
- A learning or intellectual disability.
- A psychological or emotional condition.
- Other, including any chronic illness.

Health Condition
This module counted any health condition diagnosed by a doctor at any time in a respondent's past. A list of eighteen conditions was shown to respondents on a card during the interview

## Health Consultations

Health consultations were on a respondent's own behalf only during the twelve month period prior to interview. Other health consultations included physiotherapists, occupational therapists, dieticians, etc. A full list can be found in the health questionnaire itself.

Health Status A five-point rating scale was used to measure the self-perceived health status of respondents:
How is your health in general?

1. Very Good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Bad
5. Very Bad

ILO Labour Force Classification

The primary classification used for the QNHS results is the ILO (International labour Office) labour force classification. Labour Force Survey data on this basis have been published since 1988. The ILO classification distinguishes the following main subgroups of the population aged 15 or over:

In Employment: Persons who worked in the week before the survey for one hour or more for payment or profit, including work on the family farm or business and all persons who had a job but were not at work because of illness, holidays etc. in the week.

Unemployed: Persons who, in the week before the survey, were without work and available for work within the next two weeks, and had taken specific steps, in the preceding four weeks, to find work.

Inactive Population (not in labour force): All other persons.
The labour force comprises persons employed plus unemployed.
Medical Cover
Medical cover is based on responses to the following two questions
Are you covered by a medical card?
Have you private medical insurance either in your own name or through another family member (such as VHI, BUPA, VIVAS, Quinn Healthcare)?

In Table 1 of this release those who indicated that they had both a medical card and private health insurance were placed in a separate category called 'Both'. In the rest of the release the 'medical card holders' category includes those with a medical card only, and a medical card plus private health cover. The same applies to the 'private health insurance' category which includes private health cover only, and private health cover plus medical card holders.

Waiting Lists Respondents were asked if they were currently on waiting lists for out-patient visits, day-care procedures or in-patient admissions and if so how long they had been waiting from the time of referral to the time of interview. This is exactly the same methodology as was used in the previous QNHS health module in 2001.

The regional classifications in this release are based on the NUTS (Nomenclature of Territorial Units) classification used by Eurostat. The NUTS3 regions correspond to the eight Regional Authorities established under the Local Government Act, 1991 (Regional Authorities) (Establishment) Order, 1993, which came into operation on 1 January 1994. The NUTS2 regions, which were proposed by Government and agreed by Eurostat in 1999, are groupings of the NUTS3 regions. The composition of the regions is set out below.

## Border, Midlands and <br> Western NUTS2 Region

| Border | Cavan |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Donegal |
|  | Leitrim |
|  | Louth |
|  | Monaghan |
|  | Sligo |
| Midland | Laoighis |
|  | Longford |
|  | Offaly |
|  | Westmeath |
|  | Galway County Borough <br>  <br>  <br> Galway County <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Mayo <br> Roscommon |

## Southern and Eastern NUTS2 Region

| Dublin | Dublin <br> Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown <br> Fingal <br> South Dublin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mid-East | Kildare <br> Meath <br> Wicklow |
| South-East | Clare <br> Limerick County Borough <br> Limerick County <br> Tipperary North Riding |
|  | Cilkenny <br> Tipperary South Riding |
| Waterford County Borough |  |
| South-West |  |
| Waterford County |  |
| Wexford |  |$\quad$| Cork County Borough |
| :--- |
| Cork County |
| Kerry |

## QNHS Social Modules

While the main purpose of the QNHS is the production of quarterly labour force estimates, there is also a provision for the collection of data on social topics through the inclusion of special survey modules. The selection of the major national modules undertaken to date has been largely based on the results of a canvas of users (over 100 organisations) that was conducted by the CSO in 1996, 2002 and most recently 2006. The results of the canvas are presented to the National Statistics Board and they are asked to indicate their priorities for the years ahead.

The schedule for social modules in any given year is based on the following structure:
Quarter 1 Annual modules update (Disability, Pensions, Childcare, Accidents and Illness), Information and Communications Technology (ICT) Survey.

Quarter 2 EU module (always covered under EU legislation)
Quarter 3 National module.
Quarter 4 National module.
The table below outlines some of the social modules published to date in the QNHS.

## Reference

Quarter
Q3 2007
Q2 2007
Q1 2007
Q1 2007
Q4 2006
Q3 2006
Q1 2006
Q4 2005
Q4 2005
Q3 2005
Q3 2005
Q2 2005
Q4 2004
Q1 2005
Q3 2004
Q2 2004
Q2 2004
Q4 2003
Q3 2003
Q3 2003
Q2 2003
Social modules yet to be published:

## Reference <br> Quarter

Q2 2006
Q3 2006
Q2 2007
Q4 2007
Q1 2008

## Social

Module
Health
Union Membership
Work -related Accidents and Illness (Q1 2003-Q1 2007)
ICT household survey
Crime and Victimisation
Sport and physical exercise
ICT household survey
Pension provision
Special Saving Incentive Accounts (SSIAs)
ICT household survey
Recycling and energy conservation
Reconciliation between work and family life
Equality
Childcare
ICT household survey
Union Membership
Work organisation and working time
Crime and Victimisation
Housing
ICT household survey
Life long learning

## Social

Module
Transition from work into retirement
Social capital
Educational attainment
Childcare
Working conditions and agency work

## Further information

The following information on Labour Market statistics is available on the CSO website www.cso.ie. A full set of revised time series tables, further data in relation to all QNHS social modules, methodology details and questionnaires. Special analyses can also be requested by emailing Labour@cso.ie
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Small percentage of not stated responses not shown in table.
    ${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [ ] indicate percentages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Figures in parentheses [ ] indicate percentages based on small numbers, and are, therefore, subject to a wide margin of error

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Average is calculated across all persons including those who reported no GP consultations

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.
    ${ }^{2}$ Any one of the four types of hospital attendance shown.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^6]:    waiting list at time of interview classified by region, sex, age group, nationality,
    type of medical cover and disability, Quarter 32001 and $2007^{1,4}$ Hospital waiting lists: Type of hospital waiting list of persons aged 18 years and over
    waiting list at time of interview classified by region, sex, age group, nationality,

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Variable was not included in Health module in 2001.

