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## Crime and Victimisation Quarterly National Household Survey 2010

## Reduction in crime rates between 2006 and 2010

In 2010, $9 \%$ of all households experienced property crime. This was a reduction from $12 \%$ and $11 \%$ in 2003 and 2006 respectively. There was a fall in the rate of personal crime experienced by those aged 18 years and over in $2010(4 \%)$ when compared with 2006 (5\%). See tables 1.1, 1.2, $2.1 \& 2.2$.

In 2010, $3 \%$ of households experienced vandalism. This was a decrease from $5 \%$ of households in 2006. Burglaries were experienced by $3 \%$ of houses in 2010, unchanged from 2003 and 2006. In 2010, $3 \%$ of households were victims of either theft from a vehicle ( $2 \%$ ) or theft of a vehicle ( $1 \%$ ). This is a decrease from $4 \%$ in both 2003 and 2006. In 2010, 2\% of individuals experienced theft without violence, compared with $3 \%$ in 2003 and 2006.

As in previous years, Dublin was the region with the highest rate of property crime, with $12 \%$ of households affected. The regions with the lowest rates for property crime were the Border, West and South-west, all with 6\%. Households in urban areas were more than twice as likely to experience vandalism as households in rural areas ( $5 \%$ and $2 \%$ respectively). The highest percentages of theft from vehicle offences were in the Midland and Dublin regions (both 4\%), while the lowest percentages were in the Border, West and Mid-West regions, all $1 \%$. See graph opposite.
In 2010, $4 \%$ of both males and females were victims of personal crime. For both sexes, the lowest victimisation rates were in the older age categories. For males, this age group was the $65+$ category, with $2 \%$, while for females these were the $45-64$ and $65+$ categories, both with $3 \%$.
The victimisation rates for theft without violence were twice as high for Irish nationals ( $2 \%$ ) as for non-Irish nationals (1\%). For theft of a mobile phone, students reported the highest victimisation rates with $4 \%$ of all students.

## 40\% of people fear being a victim of crime

Of individuals aged 18 years and over, over $40 \%$ expressed worry about being a victim of crime. This was a decrease from the $53 \%$ reported in 2006 and the $58 \%$ reported in 2003. Almost three-quarters (74\%) of those surveyed in 2010 stated that they felt safe or very safe walking home alone in their neighbourhood after dark, unchanged from 2003 and 2006. For every 1,000 persons, $92 \%$ said that they would feel safe or very safe alone in their homes after dark, a figure which is similar to that recorded in previous years. See tables 3.1-3.8.
However, when asked about the seriousness of crime in Ireland, $83 \%$ responded that it was either a serious or very serious problem. This had not changed appreciably since 2003 when $81 \%$ responded similarly.
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Victims of any crime aged 18 years and over classified by sex and age group, 2010


All person aged 18 years and over classified by how safe they feel alone in their home after dark, 2010


Perceptions of safety differed notably between males and females and between younger and older age groups. More than twice as many females as males reported feeling unsafe or very unsafe walking alone in the neighbourhood after dark ( $35 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively). In relation to age, $44 \%$ of those aged 65 years or over reported feeling unsafe or very unsafe walking alone in their neighbourhoods after dark, compared to $21 \%$ of those aged 18-24.

Similar differences can be seen in perceptions of safety alone in the home after dark. $11 \%$ of females reported feeling unsafe or very unsafe, compared with $6 \%$ of males. The difference in perception by age group was more pronounced again, with 3 times as many in the 65 year or older age group reporting feeling unsafe or very unsafe ( $15 \%$ ) compared to those in the 18-24 year-age category (5\%).

## More than a quarter of households vandalised more than once

Of the households affected by vandalism in 2010, more than a quarter (26\%) were victimised more than once. This number has decreased since 2003, when $33 \%$ of households were vandalised more than once. The most common type of property damage was where a vehicle was broken into or damaged, which occurred in $30 \%$ of cases, similar to 2006 (29\%) and 2003 (31\%). The rate of vandalism involving broken windows or doors has increased from $13 \%$ of all households experiencing vandalism in 2006 to $18 \%$ in 2010. See tables 1.3-1.5.
$55 \%$ of acts of vandalism were reported to An Garda Síochána. This was an increase from $2003(39 \%)$ and $2006(43 \%)$. For unreported incidents of vandalism, over a half of households stated that the incident was not serious enough to report or no loss was suffered, while $19 \%$ believed that the Gardaí could do nothing and $12 \%$ believed that the Gardaí would do nothing.

## Almost half of burglaries took place while residents were present

In almost half (49\%) of all burglaries someone was present in the home at the time of the burglary, a slight decrease from $51 \%$ in 2006. In $5 \%$ of reported burglaries a weapon was threatened or used, while injuries were incurred in $2 \%$ of all burglaries. There was no financial cost to the household in $34 \%$ of burglaries, while more than a fifth involved losses of $€ 1,270$ or more. See tables 1.6-1.9.

The rate of households being burgled only once has increased from $85 \%$ in 2006 to $88 \%$ in 2010 , indicating a slight decrease in repeat victimisation.
Of households that experienced burglaries, $25 \%$ did not report these occurrences to the Gardaí. The rates of non-reporting decreased since 2006 when $30 \%$ of households did not report the burglary. Of those who did not report a burglary, $36 \%$ believed that the incident was not serious enough or did not involve any loss. Another $35 \%$ believed that the Gardaí could (16\%) or would (19\%) do nothing, while $9 \%$ did not wish to involve the Gardaí, and 7\% reported having solved the incident themselves.

## Repeat victimisation rates falling for theft from vehicle

In $87 \%$ of households affected, theft from vehicle occurred only once. This is an increase from $83 \%$ in 2003 and $85 \%$ in 2006. Over two-thirds of thefts from vehicles were reported to the Gardaí. The most common locations for theft from vehicles were household driveways, yards or car-parks (54\%). The least common locations were household garages or sheds ( $3 \%$ ). Of those who did not report the incident to the Gardaí, $55 \%$ believed that it was not serious enough or involved no loss. See tables 1.11-1.14.
 classified by their perception of crime in Ireland,

## More than a third of assaults were near the workplace

More than $35 \%$ of those who were victims of assault indicated that the offence occurred in the vicinity of the workplace. There was an injury in almost $60 \%$ of assaults and medical attention and a hospital stay were required by $12 \%$ of victims. There was a use or threat of use of weapon in $22 \%$ of assaults. See tables 2.9-2.12.

In 2010, $45 \%$ of assaults were not reported to the Gardaí. The most commonly cited reason for not reporting assaults to the Gardaí was that the incident was not sufficiently serious or that no loss was incurred ( $31 \%$ ).

## More than a third of thefts without violence go unreported

In 2010, $37 \%$ of thefts without violence were not reported to the Gardaí. Of those who did not report the theft without violence, the most common reason (36\%) was the theft not being sufficiently serious or no loss being incurred. In relation to theft with violence the reasons cited for not reporting were significantly different, with over $55 \%$ believing that the Gardaí could ( $33 \%$ ) or would ( $22 \%$ ) do nothing. See tables 2.3-2.8.

Table 1.1 Households classified by whether they experienced crime, 2010, 2006 and 2003


[^2]Table 1.2 Households classified by whether they experienced crime, 2010

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^3]Table 1.3 Households that experienced vandalism classified by details of the incident, 2010, 2006 and 2003

| $\%$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | Vandalised <br> only <br> once | Reported <br> to Gardaí |
| 2006 | 69 | 54 |
| 2003 | 67 | 39 |

Table 1.4 Households that experienced vandalism classified by type of vandalism, 2010

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2003 | 2006 |  |
| Type of vandalism |  |  |  |
| Graffiti | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Broken windows or doors | 13 | 16 | 12 |
| Damaged fences etc | 12 | 14 | 11 |
| Other property damage | 31 | 12 | 9 |
| Vehicle broken or damaged | $<0.5$ | 29 | 30 |
| Vehicle burnt out | 19 | 2 | 19 |
| Other vehicle damage | 5 | 5 | 17 |
| Other | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |

Table 1.5 Households that experienced vandalism classified by why incident not reported to the Gardaí, 2010 ${ }^{1}$
Why incident not reported to the Gardaí
Not serious enough or no loss ..... 51
Solved it themselves ..... 4
Reported instead to other authorities ..... 2
No insurance claim anticipated ..... 1
Believe Gardaí could do nothing ..... 19
Believe Gardaí would do nothing ..... 12
Did not wish to involve the Gardaí ..... 3
Fear of reprisal ..... 4
Did not have the time ..... 1
Other ..... 4
Total ..... 100

[^4]Table 1.6 Households that experienced burglary classified by details of the incident, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  | Burgled <br> only <br> once | Someone <br> at home | Reported <br> to Gardai ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 88 | 49 | 75 |
| 2006 | 85 | 51 | 70 |
| 2003 | 84 | 54 | 69 |

${ }^{1}$ Relates to reporting of burglaries where there was someone at home.

Table 1.7 Household burglary classified by whether there was a use or threat of weapon ${ }^{1}$ or if an injury was incurred ${ }^{2}, 2010$

|  | Use or threat <br> of weapon | Injury <br> incurred |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 5 | 2 |
| 2006 | 5 | 1 |

[^5]
## Table 1.8 Household burglary classified by why incident not reported to the Gardaí, 2010

Why incident not reported to the Gardaí
Not serious enough or no loss ..... 36
Solved it themselves ..... 7
Reported instead to other authorities ..... $<0.5$
No insurance claim anticipated ..... <0.5
Believe Gardaí could do nothing ..... 16
Believe Gardaí would do nothing ..... 19
Did not wish to involve the Gardaí ..... 9
Fear of reprisal ..... 2
Did not have the time ..... <0.5
Other ..... 12
Total ..... 100

Table 1.9 Household burglary classified by estimated cost incurred as result of burglary, 2010

|  | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cost incurred as result of burglary | 34 |
| No financial cost | 22 |
| Less than $€ 254$ | 12 |
| $€ 254-€ 634$ | 11 |
| $€ 635-€ 1,269$ | 17 |
| $€ 1,270-€ 6,349$ | 3 |
| $€ 6,350$ and above | 1 |
| Not stated | 100 |

Table 1.10 Households that experienced theft of vehicle ${ }^{1}$ or theft from vehicle ${ }^{1}$, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  | Theft of <br> vehicle | Theft from <br> vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 1 | 3 |
| 2006 | 1 | 3 |
| 2003 | 1 | 3 |

[^6]Table 1.11 Households that experienced theft from vehicle classified by details of the incident, 2010


## Table 1.12 Households that experienced theft from vehicle classified by

 type of vehicle, 2010Type of vehicleCar (including 4-wheel drive) ..... 81
Van/truck/farm vehicle ..... 13
Motorcycle ..... 5
Other ..... 1
Total ..... 100
Table 1.13 Households that experienced theft from vehicle classified by where vehicle was parked, 2010
Where the vehicle was parked
Household garage or shed ..... 3
Household driveway, yard or carpark ..... 54
Street/road outside dwelling ..... 28
Street/road in town or city ..... 8
Other ..... 6
Total ..... 100
Table 1.14 Households that experienced theft from vehicle classified bywhy incident was not reported to the Gardaí, 2010
Why incident was not reported to the Gardaí
Not serious enough or no loss ..... 55
Solved it themselves ..... 3
Reported instead to other authorities ..... <0.5
No insurance claim anticipated ..... 2
Believe Gardaí could do nothing ..... 22
Believe Gardaí would do nothing ..... 13
Did not wish to involve Gardaí ..... 4
Fear of reprisal ..... <0.5
Did not have the time ..... <0.5
Other ..... 1
Total ..... 100

Table 1.15 Households that experienced burglary or vandalism classified by household security measure, 2010

| Household security measure | All households | Burglary | Vandalism |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All households | 100 | 3 | 3 |
| Monitored alarm |  |  |  |
| Yes | 15 | 1 | 1 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 85 | 2 | 3 |
| Non monitored alarm |  |  |  |
| Yes | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 73 | 2 | 2 |
| Special locks |  |  |  |
| Yes | 65 | 2 | 2 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 35 | 1 | 1 |
| Guard dogs |  |  |  |
| Yes | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 73 | 2 | 2 |
| Security sensors |  |  |  |
| Yes | 44 | 2 | 2 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 56 | 1 | 2 |
| Security company |  |  |  |
| Yes | 1 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 3 | 3 |
| Neighbourhood watch |  |  |  |
| Yes | 20 | <0.5 | 1 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 80 | 2 | 3 |
| Fences |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33 | 1 | 2 |
| No ${ }^{1}$ | 67 | 2 | 2 |

[^7]Table 2.1 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by whether they were victims of crime, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | Theft <br> without <br> violence | Theft of <br> Mobile <br> phone | Theft <br> with <br> violence | Physical <br> assault | Total victims <br> victims of <br> any crime |
| 2006 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Mobile phone data only available from 2010.
${ }^{2}$ A person could be the victim of more than one crime hence the figure will not necessarily equal the sum of all crimes.
Table 2.2 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by whether they were victims of crime, 2010, 2006 and 2003


[^8]Table 2.3 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of theft without violence classified by details of the incident 2010, 2006 and 2003

| Occurred <br> only <br> once | Reported <br> to Gardaí |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 83 | 63 |
| 2006 | 83 |  |

Table 2.4 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of theft without violence classified by why incident not reported to the Gardaí, 2010

## Why incident not reported to the Gardaí

Not serious enough or no loss ..... 36
Solved it myself ..... 3
Reported to other authorities instead ..... 5
No insurance claim anticipated ..... 1
Believed Gardaí could do nothing ..... 21
Believed Gardaí would do nothing ..... 16
Did not wish to involve Gardaí ..... 3
Fear of reprisal ..... 2
Did not have time ..... 3
Other reasons or not stated ..... 10
Total ..... 100

Table 2.5 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of theft with violence classified by details of incident, 2010, 2006 and 2003

| Reported <br> to Gardaí |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | Occurred <br> only <br> once | ( |
| 2006 | 87 | 64 |
| 2003 | 82 | 81 |

Table 2.6 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of theft with violence classified by location of incident, 2010
Location of incident
In vicinity of home ..... 27
At/near workplace ..... 33
At/near school/college ..... <0.5
In/near pub/dance hall/disco ..... <0.5
At/near other public place ..... 9
Elsewhere ..... 25
Not stated ..... 6
Total ..... 100
Table 2.7 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of theft with violenceand incurred an injury classified by type of treatment required, 2010
Type of treatment required
Cuts, bruises not requiring medical attention ..... 60
Medical attention but not a hospital stay ..... 40
Medical attention and a hospital stay ..... $<0.5$
Total ..... 100
Table 2.8 All persons aged 18 and over who were victims of theft with violence classified by why incident not reported to the Gardaí, 2010
Why incident not reported to the Gardaí
Not serious enough or no loss ..... 17
Solved it myself ..... 6
Reported instead to other authorities ..... $<0.5$
No insurance claim anticipated ..... <0.5
Believed Gardaí could do nothing ..... 33
Believed Gardaí would do nothing ..... 22
Did not wish to involve the Gardaí ..... $<0.5$
Fear of reprisal ..... <0.5
Did not have the time ..... $<0.5$
Other reasons or not stated ..... 22
Total ..... 100

Table 2.9 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of assault classified by details of the incident, 2010, 2006, 2003

|  | Use or threat <br> of weapon | Incurred <br> injury ${ }^{1}$ | Reported to <br> Gardaí |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 22 | 59 | 55 |
| 2006 | 30 | 42 | 53 |
| 2003 | 24 | 49 | 51 |

$1 \quad$ Injury might be at he result of the use of a weapon or other method such a as kicks and punches.

Table 2.10 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of assault
classified by location of the incident, 2010
Location of incident
In vicinity of home ..... 11
At/near workplace ..... 36
At/near school/college ..... 8
In/near pub/dance hall/disco ..... 1
At/near other public place ..... 12
Elsewhere ..... 31
Not stated ..... 2
Total ..... 100
Table 2.11 All persons aged 18 years and over who were victims of an assault and incurred an injury classified by type of treatment required, 2010
Type of treatment required
Cuts/bruises not requiring medical attention ..... 56
Medical attention but not a hospital stay .....
Medical attention and a hospital stay ..... 100

Table 2.12 All persons aged 18 and over who were victims of an assault classified by why incident not reported to the Gardaí, 2010
Why incident not reported to the Gardaí
Not serious enough or no loss ..... 31
Solved it myself ..... 10
Reported to other authorities instead ..... 3
Believed Gardaí could do nothing ..... 12
Believed Gardaí would do nothing ..... 6
Did not wish to involve Gardaí ..... 7
Fear of reprisal ..... 11
Felt the Gardai would not believe me ..... 8
Other reasons or not stated ..... 11
Total ..... 100

Table 3.1 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how safe they would feel walking alone in their neighbourhood after dark, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  | Very safe | Safe | Unsafe | Very unsafe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 31 | 43 | 20 | 5 |
| 2006 | 25 | 49 | 21 | 5 |
| 2003 | 27 | 47 | 20 | 5 |

Table 3.2 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how safe they would feel walking alone in their neighbourhood after dark, 2010

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |

[^9]Table 3.3 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how safe they would feel alone in their home after dark, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  | Very safe | Safe | Unsafe | Very unsafe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 45 | 47 | 7 | 1 |
| 2006 | 41 | 53 | 6 | 1 |
| 2003 | 44 | 50 | 5 | 1 |

Table 3.4 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how safe they would feel alone in their home after dark, 2010

|  | Very safe | Safe | Unsafe | Very unsafe | Unweighted sample ${ }^{1}$ (number of persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All persons | 45 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 11,391 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 50 | 39 | 8 | 2 | 1,271 |
| Midland | 39 | 48 | 10 | 2 | 683 |
| West | 41 | 49 | 8 | 2 | 992 |
| Dublin | 46 | 48 | 5 | 1 | 2,491 |
| Mid-East | 43 | 51 | 5 | 1 | 1,317 |
| Mid-West | 51 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 1,032 |
| South-East | 46 | 44 | 9 | 1 | 1,389 |
| South-West | 39 | 53 | 6 | 2 | 2,216 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 52 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 4,926 |
| Female | 38 | 52 | 9 | 2 | 6,465 |
| Males by age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 68 | 29 | 2 | <0.5 | 163 |
| 25-44 | 67 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1,932 |
| 45-64 | 65 | 33 | 2 | <0.5 | 1,778 |
| $65+$ | 53 | 41 | 4 | 1 | 1,053 |
| Females by age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 60 | 37 | 3 | <0.5 | 241 |
| 25-44 | 58 | 40 | 2 | <0.5 | 2,884 |
| 45-64 | 54 | 42 | 3 | <0.5 | 2,175 |
| $65+$ | 39 | 51 | 7 | 2 | 1,165 |
| All persons by age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 52 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 404 |
| 25-44 | 48 | 46 | 5 | 1 | 4,816 |
| 45-64 | 44 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 3,953 |
| $65+$ | 31 | 53 | 12 | 3 | 2,218 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 45 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 10,388 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 44 | 50 | 4 | 2 | 1,003 |
| Principal Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work | 48 | 46 | 5 | 1 | 5,832 |
| Unemployed | 53 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 970 |
| Student | 53 | 42 | 3 | 1 | 262 |
| Home duties | 34 | 52 | 12 | 2 | 2,413 |
| Retired | 37 | 51 | 10 | 3 | 1,516 |
| Others | 37 | 46 | 13 | 3 | 398 |

[^10]Table 3.5 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by their perception of crime in Ireland, 2010, 2006 and 2003

|  | Very <br> serious <br> problem | Serious <br> problem | Fairly <br> serious <br> problem | Not <br> a serious <br> problem | Not <br> a <br> problem |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 49 | 34 | 14 | 2 | $<0.5$ |
| 2006 | 46 | 35 | 16 | 3 | $<0.5$ |
| 2003 | 47 | 34 | 17 | 2 | $<0.5$ |

Table 3.6 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by their perception of crime in Ireland, 2010

|  | Very serious problem | Serious problem |  | Not <br> a serious problem |  | Unweighted sample ${ }^{1}$ (number of persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All persons | 49 | 34 | 14 | 2 | <0.5 | 11,391 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 49 | 38 | 8 | 3 | <0.5 | 1,271 |
| Midland | 54 | 33 | 10 | 1 | <0.5 | 683 |
| West | 47 | 36 | 14 | 2 | <0.5 | 992 |
| Dublin | 40 | 36 | 21 | 2 | <0.5 | 2,491 |
| Mid-East | 54 | 31 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1,317 |
| Mid-West | 54 | 34 | 11 | 1 | <0.5 | 1,032 |
| South-East | 60 | 29 | 8 | 2 | <0.5 | 1,389 |
| South-West | 50 | 31 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2,216 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 45 | 35 | 16 | 3 | <0.5 | 4,926 |
| Female | 53 | 32 | 12 | 1 | <0.5 | 6,465 |
| Males by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 28 | 38 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 163 |
| 25-44 | 41 | 37 | 17 | 4 | <0.5 | 1,932 |
| 45-64 | 51 | 34 | 12 | 2 | <0.5 | 1,778 |
| $65+$ | 58 | 30 | 10 | 1 | <0.5 | 1,053 |
| Females by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 38 | 39 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 241 |
| 25-44 | 48 | 33 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2,884 |
| 45-64 | 57 | 31 | 10 | 1 | <0.5 | 2,175 |
| 65 + | 65 | 26 | 7 | 1 | <0.5 | 1,165 |
| All persons by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 33 | 39 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 404 |
| 25-44 | 45 | 35 | 16 | 3 | <0.5 | 4,816 |
| 45-64 | 54 | 33 | 11 | 1 | <0.5 | 3,953 |
| $65+$ | 62 | 28 | 8 | 1 | <0.5 | 2,218 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 52 | 33 | 13 | 1 | <0.5 | 10,388 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 23 | 36 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 1,003 |
| Principal Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work | 46 | 36 | 15 | 2 | <0.5 | 5,832 |
| Unemployed | 46 | 34 | 15 | 3 | <0.5 | 970 |
| Student | 33 | 36 | 27 | 2 | <0.5 | 262 |
| Home duties | 57 | 30 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2,413 |
| Retired | 58 | 30 | 11 | 1 | <0.5 | 1,516 |
| Others | 49 | 29 | 16 | 3 | <0.5 | 398 |

[^11]Table 3.7 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by whether they worry about becoming a victim of crime, 2010, 2006 and 2003

| personal injury | Yes, <br> property theft <br> and damage | Yes, <br> both personal <br> and property | No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 9 | 5 | 27 | 59 |
| 2006 | 15 | 4 | 34 | 47 |
| 2003 | 14 | 5 | 39 | 43 |

Table 3.8 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by whether they worry about becoming a victim of crime, 2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes, | Yes, | Yes, | No | Unweighted |
| sersonal injury |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12]Table 3.9 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by their confidence in the criminal justice system, 2010

|  | Very confident | Fairly confident | Not very confident | Not at all confident | Not stated | Unweighted sample ${ }^{1}$ (number of persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All persons | 5 | 36 | 35 | 20 | 4 | 11,391 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 7 | 37 | 30 | 16 | 10 | 1,271 |
| Midland | 6 | 32 | 29 | 27 | 7 | 683 |
| West | 4 | 33 | 39 | 21 | 3 | 992 |
| Dublin | 4 | 38 | 36 | 19 | 3 | 2,491 |
| Mid-East | 3 | 36 | 38 | 20 | 2 | 1,317 |
| Mid-West | 6 | 43 | 31 | 19 | 1 | 1,032 |
| South-East | 4 | 29 | 41 | 23 | 3 | 1,389 |
| South-West | 5 | 35 | 35 | 22 | 4 | 2,216 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5 | 35 | 35 | 21 | 4 | 4,926 |
| Female | 4 | 36 | 36 | 20 | 4 | 6,465 |
| Males by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 4 | 44 | 31 | 12 | 8 | 163 |
| 25-44 | 5 | 35 | 35 | 21 | 4 | 1,932 |
| 45-64 | 5 | 32 | 37 | 24 | 3 | 1,778 |
| $65+$ | 6 | 36 | 35 | 22 | 2 | 1,053 |
| Females by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 4 | 40 | 33 | 17 | 6 | 241 |
| 25-44 | 4 | 36 | 37 | 19 | 4 | 2,884 |
| 45-64 | 5 | 35 | 36 | 22 | 2 | 2,175 |
| $65+$ | 5 | 37 | 33 | 21 | 5 | 1,165 |
| All persons by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 4 | 42 | 32 | 14 | 7 | 404 |
| 25-44 | 5 | 35 | 36 | 20 | 4 | 4,816 |
| 45-64 | 5 | 34 | 37 | 23 | 2 | 3,953 |
| $65+$ | 5 | 36 | 34 | 21 | 4 | 2,218 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 4 | 35 | 37 | 21 | 3 | 10,388 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 7 | 41 | 24 | 14 | 14 | 1,003 |
| Principal Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work | 4 | 36 | 36 | 20 | 4 | 5,832 |
| Unemployed | 4 | 30 | 37 | 23 | 6 | 970 |
| Student | 5 | 46 | 32 | 14 | 3 | 262 |
| Home duties | 5 | 38 | 34 | 19 | 4 | 2,413 |
| Retired | 6 | 35 | 35 | 22 | 2 | 1,516 |
| Others | 4 | 29 | 37 | 23 | 8 | 398 |

[^13]Table 3.10 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how they would rate the Gardaí in local area, 2010

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Very |  |  |  |  |  |

[^14]Table 3.11 All persons aged 18 years and over classified by how they would compare crime in local area to the previous 12 months, 2010

|  | A lot more crime | A little more crime | About the same | A little <br> less crime | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A lot } \\ \text { less } \\ \text { Crime } \end{array}$ | Not applicable ${ }^{1}$ | Not stated | Unweighted sample ${ }^{2}$ (number of persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All persons | 9 | 21 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 11,391 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Border | 8 | 17 | 55 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1,271 |
| Midland | 8 | 22 | 59 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 683 |
| West | 5 | 17 | 63 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 992 |
| Dublin | 10 | 20 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2,491 |
| Mid-East | 13 | 25 | 52 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1,317 |
| Mid-West | 9 | 22 | 52 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1,032 |
| South-East | 10 | 32 | 48 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1,389 |
| South-West | 8 | 18 | 60 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2,216 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 8 | 20 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4,926 |
| Female | 10 | 22 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 6,465 |
| Males by Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 6 | 16 | 41 | 8 | 3 | 22 | 4 | 163 |
| 25-44 | 8 | 20 | 58 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1,932 |
| 45-64 | 9 | 23 | 59 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1,778 |
| $65+$ | 10 | 21 | 59 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1,053 |
| Females by Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 6 | 20 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 241 |
| 25-44 | 9 | 23 | 54 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2,884 |
| 45-64 | 11 | 23 | 57 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2,175 |
| $65+$ | 12 | 21 | 56 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1,165 |
| All persons by age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 6 | 18 | 46 | 5 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 404 |
| 25-44 | 9 | 21 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4,816 |
| 45-64 | 10 | 23 | 58 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3,953 |
| $65+$ | 11 | 21 | 57 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2,218 |
| Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish nationals | 10 | 22 | 57 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 10,388 |
| Non-Irish nationals | 6 | 14 | 48 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 1,003 |
| Principal Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work | 9 | 22 | 57 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 5,832 |
| Unemployed | 10 | 21 | 50 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 970 |
| Student | 3 | 14 | 51 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 262 |
| Home duties | 11 | 22 | 55 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2,413 |
| Retired | 10 | 21 | 59 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1,516 |
| Others | 13 | 21 | 52 | 7 | <0.5 | 3 | 4 | 398 |

[^15]
## Background Notes

Reference period
The Crime and Victimisation module was included in the Quarterly National Household Survey (QNHS) in the three months interviewing period from January to March 2010. The module was previously carried out in the period of September to November in 2003 and 2006. Respondents were asked about experiences in the previous 12 months.

## Questionnaire

The module contained over ninety questions on crime-related topics, divided into two main sections - household and individual questions:

## Household

- security of premises
- burglary
- theft of and from vehicles vandalism theft of bicycles


## Individual

- theft with violence
- theft of mobile phone
- theft without violence-
- physical assault-
- perceptions and opinions in relation to crime, safety and the Gardaí

The module also included questions on the seriousness of crimes (such as cost and injuries) and on whether crimes were reported to the Gardaí. These questions related to the last incident, in cases where the household or person had been a victim of a particular kind of crime more than once in the past year. The survey did not ask about sexual assaults or domestic violence, as these questions are too sensitive and personal for inclusion in a general household survey such as the QNHS. The questions about crimes against individuals and about perceptions and opinions were confined to persons aged 18 or over participating directly in the survey.

Survey weighting: The QNHS grossing procedure aligns the distribution of persons covered in the sample with independently determined population estimates at the level of sex, five-year age group and region. The Crime and Victimisation module can be thought of as a subset of the QNHS. Instead, the unweighed sample totals are provided as well as percentages in the tables.

## Definitions of crimes

Burglary: Breaking and entering by a person into a premises (either a house/flat or an outbuilding). Thefts from gardens are not included nor thefts by a person who has the permission/right to be in the premises.

Vandalism: Damage done to any type of property or vehicle belonging to any member of the household, for example graffiti on house, shed or garden walls or damage to vehicles.

Theft with violence: Stealing or attempting to steal something from a person by using force or threatening to use violence. This includes incidents such as mugging or attempted mugging. Sometimes referred to as robbery.

Theft without violence: Stealing or attempting to steal something from a person without involving force. Examples of this type of incident are pick-pocketing or a jacket being stolen.

Physical assault: Physical assault of a person, excluding attacks of a sexual nature and domestic violence.

Theft of a mobile: Theft without violence of a mobile phone, Blackberry, PDA, iPhone or other small communications devices.

## Counting of incidents

Double-counting of incidents was avoided as much as possible. For example, if a person was attacked during a burglary then this incident would have been only recorded as burglary and not also as an assault.

Nationality The figures presented on nationality are based on a self perception question, in which the respondent could choose from a list of nationalities. As the QNHS is a household survey some nationalities may be under-represented in the figures.

Presentation of results
The results of this module are being presented, in general, in the form of percentages. Some of the survey results should be interpreted with extreme caution as the number of respondents in certain detailed categories is too few to allow valid conclusions to be drawn. However, all estimates have been presented as suppressing some cells would have taken from the coherence of the release.

While the reference period of the survey does not correspond to an exact calendar year, the results are presented as referring to the quarter in which the survey was conducted. Therefore, the results are given as referring to 2003, 2006 and 2010. Survey questions used to generate perception results (see tables 3.1-3.12) elicited a very small number of non-responses.

## Comparison with Garda statistics

The survey results are not directly comparable with administrative crime statistics such as the Recorded Crime release which is based on Garda administrative sources. There are fundamental differences in sources, definitions and classification methodology. The administrative sources provide a much more detailed breakdown of offences reported or known to the Gardaí, offences prosecuted and offences committed by juvenile offenders. The CSO C\&V survey results provide a more aggregated view of the level of crime, including unreported incidents, together with details of the demographic characteristics of victims. Here are some selected recorded crime offences for the period 2003-2009 inclusive

Recorded Crime Offences ${ }^{1}$

| ICCS | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 034 | Assault causing harm, poisoning <br> per 100,000 population | 99.0 | 96.2 | 89.7 | 94.8 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 83.7 |
| 0613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Robbery from the person <br> per 100,000 population | 43.2 | 36.6 | 27.5 | 29.5 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 29.3 |  |
| 0712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burglary (not aggravated) <br> per 100,000 population | 641.7 | 610.9 | 633.6 | 580.2 | 537.2 | 548.6 | 593.1 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Figures relate to information provided by the Gardai one week following the end of the last quarter displayed.

While these are not directly comparable to the results in the C\&V release, they are of a similar nature to some of the offences being measured by the survey.

Acknowledgements: The original questions asked in the 2010 module on crime and victimisation were designed with the assistance of an advisory committee comprising representatives of the following organisations: An Garda Síochána, Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, Department of an Taoiseach, Economic and Social Research Institute, National Council on Ageing and Older People, National Womens Council, the Health Boards and other researchers.

Regions The regional classifications in this release are based on the NUTS (Nomenclature of Territorial Units) classification used by Eurostat. The NUTS3 regions correspond to the eight Regional Authorities established under the Local Government Act, 1991 (Regional Authorities) (Establishment) Order, 1993, which came into operation on 1 January 1994. The NUTS2 regions, which were proposed by Government and agreed by Eurostat in 1999, are groupings of the NUTS3 regions. The composition of the regions is set out below.

Border, Midlands and
Western NUTS2 Region

| Border | Cavan | Dublin | Dublin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Donegal |  | Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown |
|  | Leitrim |  | Fingal |
|  | Louth |  | South Dublin |
|  | Monaghan |  |  |
|  | Sligo | Mid-East | Kildare |
|  |  |  | Meath |
| Midland | Laois |  | Wicklow |
|  | Longford |  |  |
|  | Offaly | Mid-West | Clare |
|  | Westmeath |  | Limerick City |
|  |  |  | Limerick County |
| West | Galway City Galway County |  | North Tipperary |
|  | Mayo | South-East | Carlow |
|  | Roscommon |  | Kilkenny |
|  |  |  | South Tipperary |
|  |  |  | Waterford City |
|  |  |  | Waterford County |
|  |  |  | Wexford |
|  |  | South-West | Cork City |
|  |  |  | Cork County |
|  |  |  | Kerry |

Urban/rural location
The above regions are further classified into urban and rural areas as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Urban } & \text { Cities } \\
& \text { Suburbs of cities } \\
& \text { Mixed urban/rural areas } \\
& \text { Towns and their environ } \\
& \text { Mixed urban/rural areas } \\
& \text { Towns and their environ } \\
\text { Rural } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Mixed urban/rural areas } \\
\text { Rural areas }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Mixed urban/rural areas bordering on the suburbs of cities Towns and their environs with populations of 5,000 or over (large urban) Mixed urban/rural areas bordering on the environs of larger towns Towns and their environs with a population of 1,000 to 5,000 (other urban)

QNHS Social Modules

While the main purpose of the QNHS is the production of quarterly labour force estimates, there is also a provision for the collection of data on social topics through the inclusion of special survey modules. The selection of the major national modules undertaken to date has been largely based on the results of a canvas of users (over 100 organisations) that was conducted by the CSO in 1996, 2002, 2006 and most recently 2008. The results of the canvas are presented to the National Statistics Board and they are asked to indicate their priorities for the years ahead. The schedule for social modules in any given year is based on the following structure:

Quarter 1 Annual modules update (Disability, Pensions, Childcare, Accidents and Illness), Information, Communication and Technology (ICT) Survey.

Quarter 2 EU module (always covered under EU legislation).
Quarter 3 National module.
Quarter 4 National module.
The table below outlines the most recent social modules published in the QNHS:

## Reference Social

Q2 2009
Q2 2009
Q2 2008
Q1 2008

Q1 2008
Q1 2008
Q4 2007
Q3 2007
Q4 2007
Q2 2007
Q1 2007
Q1 2007

Q4 2006
Q3 2006
Q3 2006
Q1 2006

Q4 2005
Q4 2005
Q3 2005
Q3 2005
Q2 2005
Q4 2004
Q1 2005
Q3 2004
Q2 2004
Q2 2004
Q4 2003
Q3 2003
Q3 2003
Q2 2003

## Quarter Module

Cross-Border Shopping
Union membership
Educational Attainment
ICT Household survey (as part of the Information Society and Telecommunications 2008 publication)
Working conditions module
Pension provision
Childcare
Health
Educational Attainment
Union Membership
Work-related Accidents and Illness
(Q1 2003-Q1 2007)
ICT Household survey (as part of the Information Society and Telecommunications 2007 publication)
Crime and Victimisation
Sport and physical exercise
Social capital as part of Community
Involvement and Social Networks 2006
ICT Household survey (as part of the Information Society and Telecommunications 2008 publication)
Pension provision
Special Saving Incentive Accounts (SSIAs)
ICT household survey
Recycling and energy conservation
Reconciliation between work and family life
Equality
Childcare
ICT household survey
Union Membership
Work organisation and working time
Crime and Victimisation
Housing
ICT household survey
Life long learning


[^0]:    © Central Statistics Office
    The contents of this release may be quoted provided the source is given clearly and accurately. Reproduction for own or internal use is permitted.

[^1]:    For more information contact Tim Linehan on 0214535264 or Tricia Brew

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of households with a motor vehicle or bicycle respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ A household could experience more than one crime hence the figure will not necessarily equal the sum of all crimes.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of households with a motor vehicle or bicycle respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ A household could experience more than one crime hence the figure will not necessarily equal the sum of all crimes.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Background Notes.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Category changes make comparisons with previous years impossible.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Relates to burglaries where there was someone at home at the time.
    ${ }^{2}$ Injury might be as the result of the use of a weapon or other method such as kicks and punches.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of households with a motor vehicle or bicycle respectively.

[^7]:    Includes small numbers of not stated.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ A person could be the victim of more than one crime hence the figure will not necessarily equal the sum of all crimes.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Background Notes.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Background Notes.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not living in area for the the whole of 2008 and 2009.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Background Notes.

